

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,994	12/21/2001	Minehiro Tonosaki	09792909-5279	5307
26263	7590 06/19/2003		Ç	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			EXAMINER	
			ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
CHICAGO, I	L 00000-1080	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/036,994	TONOSAKI ET	- AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anita K Alanko	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, hower ply within the statutory mini d will apply and will expire S tte, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered to IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from considera	ition.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-27</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been recei	ved.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been recei	ved in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No	o 6				

Application/Control Number: 10/036,994

Paper No. 6 Art Unit: 1765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by McCarthy et al (US 6,139,716).

McCarthy discloses an etching method comprising:

- ➤ a first step of subjecting a plastic substrate 16 to ion implantation treatment, to reform a surface of said plastic substrate, thereby forming a surface reformed layer (col.3, lines 47-67); and
- a second step of subjecting said plastic substrate to a wet etching treatment (col.4, lines 1-3) using a specific etchant (potassium hydroxide solution) by determining an end point of the wet etching treatment with the use of said surface reformed layer.

McCarthy determines an end point and stops the etching (McCarthy does not explicitly disclose how end point is determined, but it is determined since the etching is stopped at some point in time), and as broadly claimed, the surface reformed layer is used during the determination step since it is etched.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/036,994

Paper No. 6 Art Unit: 1765

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarthy et al (US 6,139,716).

The discussion of McCarthy from above is repeated here. Examiner takes official notice that it is conventional to use an ultrasonic environment to improve etching. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use an ultrasonic environment in the method of McCarthy in order to improve etching.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-27 are allowed.

Claims 2-4, 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Examiner's Remarks

Examiner notes that in the specification and claims, "sacrifice" should cite - - sacrificial - to be more clear.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art shows methods of ion implantation of plastic substrates and etching.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,994 Page 4 Paper No. 6 Art Unit: 1765 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita K Alanko whose telephone number is 703-305-7708. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday and Friday, 8:00 am-4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin L Utech can be reached on 703-308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661. Arita K. Hamly Anita K Alanko **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1765 AKA June 16, 2003